

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District

School Site: A. M. Winn Waldorf-Inspired K-8

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/26/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 23

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District

School Site: Abraham Lincoln Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/13/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 19

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Fair

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District

School Site: Aspire Capitol Heights Academy

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/16/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 11

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District  
School Site: Bret Harte Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/14/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 15

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Fair

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District  
School Site: California Middle

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/26/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 27

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District

School Site: Capitol Collegiate Academy

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/19/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 15

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District

School Site: Caroline Wenzel Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/28/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 15

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District

School Site: Cesar E. Chavez Intermediate

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/29/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 12

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.



## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District

School Site: Ethel I. Baker Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/14/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 17

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District  
School Site: Fern Bacon Middle

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/19/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 27

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District

School Site: Hiram W. Johnson High

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 10/3/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 52

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District

School Site: Isador Cohen Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/13/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 16

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District

School Site: John Bidwell Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/28/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 15

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Poor

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District

School Site: John Cabrillo Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/15/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 23

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District

School Site: John D. Sloat Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/21/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 15

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Poor

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District

School Site: Leataata Floyd Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/14/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 13

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Poor

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.



## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District

School Site: Mark Twain Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/11/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 16

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Fair

Restrooms and Fountains: Fair

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District

School Site: Martin Luther King Jr. K-8

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/19/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 18

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District

School Site: Pacific Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/11/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 20

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District

School Site: Parkway Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/11/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 21

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District  
School Site: Rosa Parks K-8

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/18/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 30

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Fair

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District

School Site: Sacramento Charter High

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/14/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 20

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District  
School Site: Sam Brannan Middle

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/25/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 29

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District

School Site: St. HOPE Public 7 Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/15/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 12

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.



## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District  
School Site: St. HOPE Public 7 Middle

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/15/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 11

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District

School Site: Suy:U Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/11/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 19

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District

School Site: Theodore Judah Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/18/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 25

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Fair

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District

School Site: Umoja International Academy

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/27/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 23

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District  
School Site: Will C. Wood Middle

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/27/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 29

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District

School Site: Woodbine Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/21/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 16

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2023-24 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Sacramento City Unified School District

School Site: Yav Pem Suab Academy

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/9/23

Number of Areas Evaluated: 18

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.