

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2022-23 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Folsom Cordova Unified School District  
School Site: Cordova Gardens Elementary School

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/17/22

Number of Areas Evaluated: 18

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2022-23 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Folsom Cordova Unified School District

School Site: Cordova High

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/31/22

Number of Areas Evaluated: 47

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2022-23 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Folsom Cordova Unified School District

School Site: Cordova Meadows Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/17/22

Number of Areas Evaluated: 18

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2022-23 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Folsom Cordova Unified School District

School Site: Mills Middle School

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 10/10/20

Number of Areas Evaluated: 29

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2022-23 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Folsom Cordova Unified School District

School Site: Navigator Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/16/22

Number of Areas Evaluated: 20

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2022-23 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Folsom Cordova Unified School District  
School Site: Rancho Cordova Elementary School

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/24/22

Number of Areas Evaluated: 24

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2022-23 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Folsom Cordova Unified School District  
School Site: W.E. Mitchell Middle School

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/29/22

Number of Areas Evaluated: 27

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2022-23 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Folsom Cordova Unified School District  
School Site: Walnutwood High (Independent Study)

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/7/22

Number of Areas Evaluated: 7

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.



## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2022-23 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Folsom Cordova Unified School District

School Site: White Rock Elementary School

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/16/22

Number of Areas Evaluated: 20

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2022-23 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Folsom Cordova Unified School District

School Site: Williamson Elementary School

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/24/22

Number of Areas Evaluated: 18

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.