

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2024-25 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: D.W. Babcock Elementary

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/6/2024

Number of Areas Evaluated: 27

### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# Sacramento County Office of Education 2024-25 Williams Site Review Summary

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Del Paso Heights Elementary

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/4/2024

Number of Areas Evaluated: 25

### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2024-25 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Elwood J. Keema High

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/13/2024

Number of Areas Evaluated: 10

### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2024-25 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Fairbanks Elementary

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/30/2024

Number of Areas Evaluated: 18

### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2024-25 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Foothill High

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/6/2024

Number of Areas Evaluated: 33

### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# Sacramento County Office of Education 2024-25 Williams Site Review Summary

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Frederick C. Joyce Elementary

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/27/2024

Number of Areas Evaluated: 24

### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2024-25 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Garden Valley Elementary

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/28/2024

Number of Areas Evaluated: 15

### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2024-25 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Hagginwood Elementary

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/18/2024

Number of Areas Evaluated: 23

### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.



# Sacramento County Office of Education 2024-25 Williams Site Review Summary

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Hazel Strauch Elementary

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/28/2024

Number of Areas Evaluated: 23

### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# Sacramento County Office of Education 2024-25 Williams Site Review Summary

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Higher Learning Academy

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/15/2024

Number of Areas Evaluated: 20

### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2024-25 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Hillsdale Elementary

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/3/2024

Number of Areas Evaluated: 23

### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# Sacramento County Office of Education 2024-25 Williams Site Review Summary

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Kohler Elementary

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/11/2024

Number of Areas Evaluated: 22

### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2024-25 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Las Palmas Elementary

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/30/2024

Number of Areas Evaluated: 20

### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# Sacramento County Office of Education 2024-25 Williams Site Review Summary

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Madison Elementary

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/27/2024

Number of Areas Evaluated: 24

### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# Sacramento County Office of Education 2024-25 Williams Site Review Summary

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Martin Luther King, Jr. Technology Academy

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/3/2024

Number of Areas Evaluated: 23

### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2024-25 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Michael J. Castori Elementary

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/4/2024

Number of Areas Evaluated: 27

### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.



# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2024-25 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Northwood Elementary

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/18/2024

Number of Areas Evaluated: 19

### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2024-25 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Norwood Junior High

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/13/2024

Number of Areas Evaluated: 20

### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# Sacramento County Office of Education 2024-25 Williams Site Review Summary

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Oakdale Elementary

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/5/2024

Number of Areas Evaluated: 22

### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2024-25 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Rio Linda High

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/16/2024

Number of Areas Evaluated: 32

### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2024-25 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Rio Linda Preparatory Academy

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 11/8/2024

Number of Areas Evaluated: 18

### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2024-25 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Rio Tierra Junior High

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/30/2024

Number of Areas Evaluated: 17

### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Fair

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2024-25 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Smythe Academy of Arts and Sciences - 7-8

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/10/2024

Number of Areas Evaluated: 22

### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# Sacramento County Office of Education 2024-25 Williams Site Review Summary

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Smythe Academy of Arts and Sciences - K-6

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/12/2024

Number of Areas Evaluated: 18

### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.



# Sacramento County Office of Education 2024-25 Williams Site Review Summary

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Village Elementary

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/9/2024

Number of Areas Evaluated: 14

### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# Sacramento County Office of Education 2024-25 Williams Site Review Summary

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Woodlake Elementary

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/6/2024

Number of Areas Evaluated: 18

### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# Sacramento County Office of Education 2024-25 Williams Site Review Summary

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Woodridge Elementary

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/22/2024

Number of Areas Evaluated: 26

### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Fair

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.